

A REMARK ON A CONJECTURE OF HAIN AND LOOIJENGA

CAREL FABER

Let $M_{g,n}$ (resp. $\overline{M}_{g,n}$) be the moduli space of smooth (resp. stable) n -pointed curves of genus g and let $M_{g,n}^{ct}$ be the moduli space of pointed curves of compact type, the complement of the boundary divisor Δ_{irr} of irreducible singular curves and their degenerations. Let $M_{g,n}^{rt}$ be the moduli space of pointed curves with rational tails; for $g \geq 2$, it is the inverse image of M_g under the natural morphism $\overline{M}_{g,n} \rightarrow \overline{M}_g$, while $M_{1,n}^{rt} = M_{1,n}^{ct}$ and $M_{0,n}^{rt} = \overline{M}_{0,n}$ by definition. Here, (g, n) is a pair of nonnegative integers such that $2g - 2 + n > 0$. There is a natural partial ordering of these pairs: $(h, m) \leq (g, n)$ if and only if $h \leq g$ and $2h - 2 + m \leq 2g - 2 + n$, or, in other words, if and only if there exists a stable n -pointed curve of genus g whose dual graph contains a vertex of genus h with valency m .

We recall the definition of the tautological algebras $R^\bullet(\overline{M}_{g,n})$ from [FP2]: the system $\{R^\bullet(\overline{M}_{g,n})\}_{(g,n)}$ is defined as the set of smallest $\mathbb{Q}$ -subalgebras of the rational Chow rings $A^\bullet(\overline{M}_{g,n})$ that is closed under push-forward via all maps forgetting markings and all standard gluing maps. The well-known ψ -, κ -, and λ -classes are tautological. The system is also closed under pull-back via the forgetting and gluing maps. The successive quotients $R^\bullet(M_{g,n}^{ct})$, $R^\bullet(M_{g,n}^{rt})$, and $R^\bullet(M_{g,n})$ are defined as the restrictions to the respective open subsets. (Observe that it is in general not known whether the corresponding tautological localization sequences are exact in the middle.)

The following results are known:

- (a) $R^\bullet(M_{g,n}^{rt})$ vanishes in degrees $> g - 2 + n - \delta_{0g}$ and is 1-dimensional in degree $g - 2 + n - \delta_{0g}$.
- (b) $R^\bullet(M_{g,n}^{ct})$ vanishes in degrees $> 2g - 3 + n$ and is 1-dimensional in degree $2g - 3 + n$.
- (c) $R^\bullet(\overline{M}_{g,n})$ (vanishes in degrees $> 3g - 3 + n$ and) is 1-dimensional in degree $3g - 3 + n$.

Statement (a) was proved by Looijenga [L] and Faber [F1], [FP1]. Statements (b) and (c) were proved by Graber and Vakil [GV1], [GV2] and Faber and Pandharipande [FP2].

Recall the following three conjectures:

- (A) $R^\bullet(M_{g,n}^{rt})$ is Gorenstein with socle in degree $g - 2 + n - \delta_{0g}$.
- (B) $R^\bullet(M_{g,n}^{ct})$ is Gorenstein with socle in degree $2g - 3 + n$.
- (C) $R^\bullet(\overline{M}_{g,n})$ is Gorenstein with socle in degree $3g - 3 + n$.

In the case $g = 0$, the three conjectures coincide and have been proved by Keel [K]. Conjecture (A) in the case $n = 0$ is due to the author [F1] and is true for $g \leq 23$. Hain and Looijenga [HL] raised (C) as a question and (A), (B), and (C) were formulated in [P] (see also [FP1], [F2]).

Hain and Looijenga also introduce a compactly supported version of the tautological algebra: they define $R_c^\bullet(M_{g,n})$ as the set of elements in $R^\bullet(\overline{M}_{g,n})$ that restrict trivially to the Deligne-Mumford boundary (i.e., the pull-back via any standard map from a product of moduli spaces $\overline{M}_{g_i, n_i}$ onto the closure of a boundary stratum vanishes). It is a graded ideal in $R^\bullet(\overline{M}_{g,n})$ and a module over $R^\bullet(M_{g,n})$. They then formulate the following conjecture in the case $n = 0$:

Conjecture 1 (Hain and Looijenga [HL]). *The intersection pairings*

$$R^k(M_g) \times R_c^{3g-3-k}(M_g) \rightarrow R_c^{3g-3}(M_g) \cong \mathbb{Q}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

are perfect (Poincaré duality) and $R_c^\bullet(M_g)$ is a free $R^\bullet(M_g)$ -module of rank one.

Observe that $\lambda_1 \in R_c^1(M_{1,1})$ and $\lambda_g \lambda_{g-1} \in R_c^{2g-1}(M_g)$ for $g > 1$. (The author's proof of the nonvanishing of $R^{g-2+n}(M_{g,n}^{rt})$ for $g > 0$ uses this fact.) So this class is supposed to be a generator of the $R^\bullet(M_g)$ -module $R_c^\bullet(M_g)$ (the unique generator of degree $2g - 1$ up to a scalar).

However, the pull-backs of these classes to $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ don't lie in $R_c^\bullet(M_{g,n})$ for $n \geq 2$, since they don't vanish on the boundary strata corresponding to curves with rational tails. Let us therefore define $R_c^\bullet(M_{g,n}^{rt})$ as the set of elements in $R^\bullet(\overline{M}_{g,n})$ that restrict trivially to $\overline{M}_{g,n} \setminus M_{g,n}^{rt}$. Consider the following conjectures:

- (D) The intersection pairings

$$R^k(M_{g,n}^{rt}) \times R_c^{3g-3+n-k}(M_{g,n}^{rt}) \rightarrow R_c^{3g-3+n}(M_{g,n}^{rt}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$$

are perfect for $k \geq 0$.

- (E) In addition to (D), $R_c^\bullet(M_{g,n}^{rt})$ is a free $R^\bullet(M_{g,n}^{rt})$ -module of rank one.

Conjecture (E) appears to be the natural generalization of Conjecture 1 to the case $n > 0$. For reasons that will become clear in a moment, we also include the weaker statement (D). Observe that (E) implies that $\lambda_g \lambda_{g-1}$ is a generator of $R_c^\bullet(M_{g,n}^{rt})$ for $g > 0$ (the unique one of degree $2g - 1$ up to a scalar), by (a) above.

Theorem 1. *Conjectures (A) and (C) are true for all (g, n) if and only if Conjecture (E) is true for all (g, n) . More precisely,*

$$A_{(g,n)} \text{ and } C_{(g,n)} \Rightarrow E_{(g,n)} \Rightarrow A_{(g,n)} \text{ and } D_{(g,n)}$$

and

$$\{D_{(g',n')}\}_{(g',n') \leq (g,n)} \Rightarrow \{C_{(g',n')}\}_{(g',n') \leq (g,n)}.$$

Proof. Suppose first that (C) is not true for all (g, n) and let a minimal counterexample be given by $0 \neq \alpha \in R^\bullet(\overline{M}_{g,n})$, i.e., $R^\bullet(\overline{M}_{g',n'})$ is Gorenstein for all $(g', n') < (g, n)$ and $\deg(\alpha\beta) = 0$ for all $\beta \in R^\bullet(\overline{M}_{g,n})$. (We write $\deg$ for the degree homomorphism on $R_0(\overline{M}_{g,n})$ and its extension by zero to all of $R^\bullet(\overline{M}_{g,n})$). It follows that $g > 0$.

Let π denote the standard map $\overline{M}_{g-1,n+2} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,n}$ onto the boundary divisor Δ_{irr} . Let $\gamma \in R^\bullet(\overline{M}_{g-1,n+2})$ be arbitrary. Then

$$\deg((\pi^*\alpha)\gamma) = \deg(\pi_*((\pi^*\alpha)\gamma)) = \deg(\alpha\pi_*\gamma) = 0,$$

since $\pi_*\gamma$ is tautological. Since $R^\bullet(\overline{M}_{g-1,n+2})$ is Gorenstein, it follows that $\pi^*\alpha = 0$.

Next, let π denote one of the standard maps $\overline{M}_{g_1,n_1} \times \overline{M}_{g_2,n_2} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,n}$ onto a boundary component parametrizing reducible singular curves ($g_1 + g_2 = g$ and $n_1 + n_2 = n + 2$). We have the push-forward map

$$\pi_* : R^\bullet(\overline{M}_{g_1,n_1}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} R^\bullet(\overline{M}_{g_2,n_2}) \rightarrow R^\bullet(\overline{M}_{g,n})$$

and the pull-back map in the other direction (cf. [GP]). The tensor product is Gorenstein, with perfect pairing given by

$$\deg((\beta_1 \otimes \beta_2)(\gamma_1 \otimes \gamma_2)) = \deg(\beta_1\gamma_1) \deg(\beta_2\gamma_2).$$

Let γ_1 resp. γ_2 be arbitrary elements of $R^\bullet(\overline{M}_{g_1,n_1})$ resp. $R^\bullet(\overline{M}_{g_2,n_2})$. Then

$$\deg((\pi^*\alpha)(\gamma_1 \otimes \gamma_2)) = \deg(\pi_*((\pi^*\alpha)(\gamma_1 \otimes \gamma_2))) = \deg(\alpha\pi_*(\gamma_1 \otimes \gamma_2)) = 0,$$

since $\pi_*(\gamma_1 \otimes \gamma_2)$ is tautological. Again, it follows that $\pi^*\alpha = 0$.

Therefore, $0 \neq \alpha \in R_c^\bullet(M_{g,n})$ and a fortiori $0 \neq \alpha \in R_c^\bullet(M_{g,n}^{rt})$. But it pairs to zero with all β and this contradicts $D_{(g,n)}$. The implication in the second display follows as an immediate consequence.

The next step is to prove the implication $E_{(g,n)} \Rightarrow A_{(g,n)}$. As mentioned above, if $g > 0$ and $E_{(g,n)}$ holds, then $\lambda_g\lambda_{g-1}$ generates $R_c^\bullet(M_{g,n}^{rt})$ freely. Suppose that $A_{(g,n)}$ fails: let $0 \neq \alpha \in R^\bullet(M_{g,n}^{rt})$ be such that it pairs to zero with all $\beta \in R^\bullet(M_{g,n}^{rt})$. I.e., $\deg(\alpha\beta\lambda_g\lambda_{g-1}) = 0$ for all β (note that $g > 0$). From $D_{(g,n)}$, it follows that $\alpha\lambda_g\lambda_{g-1} = 0$, but this contradicts $E_{(g,n)}$. This proves the second implication in the first display.

To prove the first implication, we first show that $A_{(g,n)}$ and $C_{(g,n)}$ imply $D_{(g,n)}$. Assume that $D_{(g,n)}$ fails; the perfect pairing may fail on either side. Suppose first that $0 \neq \alpha \in R_c^\bullet(M_{g,n}^{rt})$ pairs to zero with all of $R^\bullet(M_{g,n}^{rt})$. We know that $\pi^*\alpha = 0$, for every standard map π associated to a stratum in $\overline{M}_{g,n} \setminus M_{g,n}^{rt}$. This means that the product of α and a Chow class pushed forward via such a map is zero (hence the pairing is well-defined). Since α pairs to zero with all of $R^\bullet(M_{g,n}^{rt})$, it gives a counterexample to $C_{(g,n)}$. If instead $0 \neq \alpha \in R^\bullet(M_{g,n}^{rt})$ pairs to zero with all of $R_c^\bullet(M_{g,n}^{rt})$, then it pairs to zero with all classes of the form $\beta\lambda_g\lambda_{g-1}$, for $\beta \in R^\bullet(M_{g,n}^{rt})$ (note that $g > 0$). In this case, α gives a counterexample to $A_{(g,n)}$.

We conclude by showing that $A_{(g,n)}$ and $C_{(g,n)}$ imply $E_{(g,n)}$. We already have $D_{(g,n)}$. If $E_{(g,n)}$ doesn't hold, then $g > 0$ and certainly $\lambda_g\lambda_{g-1}$ fails to be a basis for $R_c^\bullet(M_{g,n}^{rt})$. I.e., multiplication by $\lambda_g\lambda_{g-1}$ fails to be surjective or injective. From $A_{(g,n)}$ and $D_{(g,n)}$, it follows that the surjectivity and injectivity of this map are equivalent (recall from [GP], Cor. 1, that $R^\bullet(\overline{M}_{g,n})$ is finite-dimensional). But if $0 \neq \alpha \in R^\bullet(M_{g,n}^{rt})$ and $\alpha\lambda_g\lambda_{g-1} = 0$, then $A_{(g,n)}$ fails. $\square$

There is an analogous result in the compact type case. Begin by defining $R_c^\bullet(M_{g,n}^{ct})$ as the set of elements in $R^\bullet(\overline{M}_{g,n})$ that pull back to zero via the standard map $\overline{M}_{g-1,n+2} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,n}$ onto Δ_{irr} . Conjectures (D) and (E) have obvious analogues (D^{ct}) and (E^{ct}). We have that

$$B_{(g,n)} \text{ and } C_{(g,n)} \Rightarrow E_{(g,n)}^{ct} \Rightarrow B_{(g,n)} \text{ and } D_{(g,n)}^{ct}$$

and

$$\{D_{(g',n')}^{ct}\}_{(g',n') \leq (g,n)} \Rightarrow \{C_{(g',n')}\}_{(g',n') \leq (g,n)}.$$

The proof proceeds entirely analogously; the class λ_g now plays the role of $\lambda_g\lambda_{g-1}$ (it is no longer necessary to treat the case $g = 0$ separately).

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Eduard Looijenga, Rahul Pandharipande, and Michael Shapiro for useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [F1] C. Faber, *A conjectural description of the tautological ring of the moduli space of curves*. Moduli of curves and abelian varieties, 109–129, Aspects Math., E33, Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1999.
- [F2] C. Faber, *Hodge integrals, tautological classes and Gromov-Witten theory*. Proceedings of the Workshop “Algebraic Geometry and Integrable Systems related to String Theory” (Kyoto, 2000). Sūrikaiseikikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku No. 1232 (2001), 78–87.

- [FP1] C. Faber and R. Pandharipande, *Logarithmic series and Hodge integrals in the tautological ring*. With an appendix by Don Zagier. Dedicated to William Fulton on the occasion of his 60th birthday. Michigan Math. J. 48 (2000), 215–252.
- [FP2] C. Faber and R. Pandharipande, *Relative maps and tautological classes*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 7 (2005), no. 1, 13–49.
- [GP] T. Graber and R. Pandharipande, *Constructions of nontautological classes on moduli spaces of curves*. Michigan Math. J. 51 (2003), no. 1, 93–109.
- [GV1] T. Graber and R. Vakil, *On the tautological ring of $\overline{M}_{g,n}$* . Turkish J. Math. 25 (2001), no. 1, 237–243.
- [GV2] T. Graber and R. Vakil, *Relative virtual localization and vanishing of tautological classes on moduli spaces of curves*. Duke Math. J. 130 (2005), no. 1, 1–37.
- [HL] R. Hain and E. Looijenga, *Mapping class groups and moduli spaces of curves*. Algebraic geometry—Santa Cruz 1995, 97–142, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 62, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [K] S. Keel, *Intersection theory of moduli space of stable n -pointed curves of genus zero*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 330 (1992), no. 2, 545–574.
- [L] E. Looijenga, *On the tautological ring of M_g* . Invent. Math. 121 (1995), no. 2, 411–419.
- [P] R. Pandharipande, *Three questions in Gromov-Witten theory*. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II (Beijing, 2002), 503–512, Higher Ed. Press, Beijing, 2002.